

QUASI-ISOMETRIES AND AMALGAMATIONS OF TAME COMBABLE GROUPS

STEPHEN G. BRICK

ABSTRACT. We study the property of tame combability for groups. We show that quasi-isometries preserve this property. We prove that an amalgamation, $A *_C B$, where C is finitely generated, is tame combable iff both A and B are. An analogous result is obtained for HNN extensions. And we show that all one-relator groups are tame combable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Casson has recently come up with a condition, C_2 , on finite presentations of groups for which the following theorem holds (see [3]):

Theorem(Casson). *Suppose M is a closed \mathbb{P}^2 -irreducible three-manifold with infinite fundamental group. If $\pi_1(M)$ has a finite presentation that satisfies condition C_2 then the universal cover of M is \mathbb{R}^3 .*

The condition C_2 is not necessarily preserved by change of presentation. In [2] we develop the qsf property which is invariant under change of presentation. Hence it is natural to speak of the class of qsf groups.

In [5], Mihalik and Tschantz define the class of tame combable groups. They show that this class contains all asynchronously automatic and semi-hyperbolic groups. Further they prove that tame combable groups are qsf. It is a result of Tschantz's (unpublished) that not all qsf groups are tame combable.

In this paper we show that tame combability is a geometric property, in the sense that it is preserved by quasi-isometries. We also prove that an amalgamation, $A *_C B$, where C is finitely generated, is tame combable iff both A and B are. And we show that an HNN extension, $A *_C \phi$, with C finitely generated, is tame combable iff A is. Further we are able to sharpen a result in [2] and show that all one-relator groups are tame combable.

As in [2], we work with CW-complexes whose two-cell attaching maps are PL. Maps between complexes are assumed to be cellular. Given a subcomplex A of a complex Z , the n -neighborhood is defined to be the iterated star without subdivision, $\text{Star}^n(A)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F05, 57M20.

Key words and phrases. quasi-isometry, tame combable, one relator group, amalgamation, HNN extension.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In [5], two definitions of tame combability are given and shown to be equivalent. One makes explicit use of combings; the second appeals to a concept developed by Tucker in his work on the missing boundary problem for 3-manifolds (see [6]). We find it convenient to work with this second formulation. To distinguish it from the first, we will refer to it as the Tucker property.

Let X be a finite complex and \tilde{X} be its universal cover. We will say that X has *the Tucker property* or that X is *Tucker* iff, given any finite subcomplex $C \subset \tilde{X}$, $\pi_1(\tilde{X} \setminus C)$ is finitely generated for any choice of basepoint (i.e., for each component of $\tilde{X} \setminus C$). It is a consequence of theorems 1 and 2 in [5] that this only depends on $\pi_1(X)$, i.e., if X_1 and X_2 are finite complexes with $\pi_1(X_1) = \pi_1(X_2)$ then X_1 has the Tucker property iff X_2 has the Tucker property. So we may view the Tucker property as a property of finitely presented groups, and will say that G is Tucker iff some (and hence any) finite complex X , with $\pi_1(X) = G$, has the Tucker property.

We will find it convenient to apply the above definition to each end of \tilde{X} separately. Recall that an end e of a space Z is a choice, for each compact $C \subset Z$, of a non-compact component, $e(C)$, of $Z \setminus C$, such that $C_1 \subset C_2$ implies $e(C_2) \subset e(C_1)$. Write $\mathcal{E}(Z)$ for the set of ends. A proper map $f : Z \rightarrow W$ induces a map on the set of ends $f_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(W)$ as follows: Let e be an end of Z and $C \subset W$ compact. Since f is proper, $f^{-1}(C)$ is a compact subset of Z . Write U for the component $e(f^{-1}(C))$ of $Z \setminus f^{-1}(C)$. Then $f_{\mathcal{E}}(e)(C)$ is defined to be the component of $W \setminus C$ containing the connected set $f(U)$. Clearly, this makes \mathcal{E} into a functor.

We will say that an end e has *the Tucker property* iff, given a finite subcomplex $C \subset \tilde{X}$, $\pi_1(e(C))$ is finitely generated. (We will also say that e is *Tucker*.) Note that X has the Tucker property iff each end of \tilde{X} does.

Recall that metric spaces A and B are quasi-isometric if there are functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow A$ and there are constants k and ϵ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(a_1), f(a_2)) &\leq k \cdot d(a_1, a_2) + \epsilon \\ d(g(b_1), g(b_2)) &\leq k \cdot d(b_1, b_2) + \epsilon \\ d(f \circ g(b), b) &\leq k \\ d(g \circ f(a), a) &\leq k \end{aligned}$$

If G and H are finitely generated groups then we say that G and H are quasi-isometric if, for some choice of generators, the Cayley graphs are quasi-isometric, with the metrics being the path metrics. It turns out that this does not depend on the generating sets chosen. Also a bit more is true (see [1]). If X and Y are finite two-complexes with quasi-isometric fundamental groups then, writing \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} for the universal covers, there are proper cellular maps $\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ and $\beta : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and a constant N such that we have

$$(1) \quad d(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2)) \leq N \cdot d(x_1, x_2)$$

$$(2) \quad d(\beta(y_1), \beta(y_2)) \leq N \cdot d(y_1, y_2)$$

$$(3) \quad d(\alpha \circ \beta(y), y) \leq N$$

for all vertices $x_1, x_2, x \in \tilde{X}$ and $y_1, y_2, y \in \tilde{Y}$, where d is the appropriate path metric (see [1]). We will say that (α, β) is an *extended combinatorial quasi-isometry with constant N* .

3. QUASI-ISOMETRIES

We saw in [1] that, given an extended combinatorial quasi-isometry (α, β) , the induced maps on the sets of ends, $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}$, are inverses to each other and map simply connected or semistable ends to ends of the same type. We will now prove an analogous result for the Tucker property.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that (α, β) is an extended combinatorial quasi-isometry between \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} , where, as above, \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are the universal covers of finite complexes. Then $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\beta_{\mathcal{E}}$ map Tucker ends to Tucker ends.*

Proof. Suppose e is an end of \tilde{X} having the Tucker property. We will show that $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(e)$ also has the Tucker property. By symmetry, this will suffice.

Let N be the constant associated to (α, β) . Take C to be a finite subcomplex of \tilde{Y} and K to be a finite subcomplex of \tilde{X} containing the compact subset $\alpha^{-1}(C)$. Write $U = e(K)$, a component of $\tilde{X} \setminus K$, and write V for the component of $\tilde{Y} \setminus C$ containing $\alpha(e(\alpha^{-1}(C)))$. This is the same component as that containing $\alpha(U)$. Thus $V = \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(e)(C)$. We need to see that $\pi_1(V)$ is finitely generated.

By [1, lemma 3.1], there is a constant m' such that any edge loop w in \tilde{Y} of length $\leq m = N^2 + 2N + 1$ is null-homotopic in the m' -neighborhood of w . Hence any edge loop lying entirely outside of the m' -neighborhood of C , of length $\leq N^2 + 2N + 1$, is null-homotopic outside of C .

Let C_1 be the $(N + m')$ -neighborhood of $C \cup \alpha(K)$. Write V_1 for a component of $\tilde{Y} \setminus C_1$ contained in V . There are finitely many such components. Further, V may be gotten from the union of such components by adding finitely many cells. Hence it is sufficient to show for all such V_1 , that the subgroup of $\pi_1(V)$ generated by edge loops contained in V_1 (i.e., the image of $\pi_1(V_1)$) is finitely generated.

A simple argument using formula (4) shows that we can find a vertex $y_0 \in V_1$ with $\beta(y_0) \in U$. Formula (3) then implies that $\alpha(\beta(y_0)) \in V$. Further, $\alpha(\beta(y_0))$ lies outside of the m' -neighborhood of C .

Choose an edge-path p in V of length $\leq N$ from y_0 to $\alpha(\beta(y_0))$. By hypothesis, $\pi_1(U, \beta(y_0))$ is finitely generated. Let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n be a generating set of edge loops. Consider, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the edge loops $\tau_i = p \cdot \alpha(\rho_i) \cdot p^{-1}$ in V . We will show that these generate the image of $\pi_1(V_1, y_0)$ in $\pi_1(V, y_0)$. As mentioned above, this will suffice.

Let w be an edge loop in V_1 based at y_0 . By formula (3), $\alpha(\beta(w))$ misses $\alpha(K)$. Hence $\beta(w)$ misses K . By connectedness, $\beta(w)$ is an edge loop in U , based at $\beta(y_0)$. Thus there is a basepoint preserving homotopy in U from the loop $\beta(w)$ to some product of the ρ_i 's and their inverses. Applying the map α yields a base preserving homotopy in V from $\alpha(\beta(w))$ to some product of the $\alpha(\rho_i)$'s and their inverses. It follows that the edge loop $p \cdot \alpha(\beta(w)) \cdot p^{-1}$, based at y_0 , is homotopic in V , rel its basepoint, to a product of the τ_i 's and their inverses. Now we need only see that $p \cdot \alpha(\beta(w)) \cdot p^{-1}$ is homotopic in V , rel its basepoint, to w .

Let $w = e_1 \cdots e_t$, where e_i is an edge from y_{i-1} to y_i . Note that $y_t = y_0$. By formula (1) and (2), the edge path $\alpha(\beta(w))$ is of length $\leq N^2$. Write u_1 and u_2

paths, p_i , in V of length $\leq N$ from y_i to v_i . We may take $p_0 = p$. Fix i . Then the loop $e_i \cdot p_i \cdot \alpha(\beta(e_i))^{-1} \cdot (p_{i-1})^{-1}$ is of length $\leq N^2 + 2N + 1$ and, by formula (3), it lies outside of the m' -neighborhood of C . Hence, by our choice of m' , this loop is null-homotopic outside of C . So there is a Van-Kampen diagram for this loop with image lying in V . Glueing together such diagrams shows that w is homotopic in V , rel its basepoint, to $\alpha(\beta(w))$. \square

The preceding can be used to show that the Tucker property for finitely presented groups does not depend on the finite complex chosen. Also, we immediately get:

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose G and H are quasi-isometric finitely presented groups. Then G is Tucker iff H is Tucker.*

4. AMALGAMATIONS

Suppose X is a complex and $S \subset X$ is a subcomplex. We say that S is *two-sided or bicollared in X* if a regular neighborhood of S in X is homeomorphic to $S \times [-1, 1]$, with S corresponding to $S \times 0$. Given a two-sided subcomplex S in X , one calls $X_S = X \setminus (S \times (-1, 1))$ the result of cutting X along S .

A subcomplex is said to be π_1 -*injective* iff for any choice of basepoint (i.e., for any component) the inclusion map induces an injection of fundamental groups.

We now turn to our amalgamation result. Observe that if $S \subset X$ is two-sided and π_1 -injective in X then X_S is also π_1 -injective in X . And note that X_S need not be connected. It is Tucker iff each of its components is.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose X is a finite complex and $S \subset X$ is a two-sided subcomplex. Assume that X_S is π_1 -injective in X . Then X is Tucker iff X_S is Tucker.*

Proof. Write $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ for the universal cover. Observe that $p^{-1}(S)$ is two-sided in \tilde{X} . Let $N(S) = p^{-1}(S) \times [-1, 1]$ be a regular neighborhood of $p^{-1}(S)$. We will call a subcomplex D *transverse* if $D \cap N(S) = (D \cap p^{-1}(S)) \times [-1, 1]$.

We will use $(\tilde{X}_S)_i$ to mean a typical component of $p^{-1}(X_S)$.

Assume that X_S is Tucker. Let C be a finite subcomplex of \tilde{X} . Write U for one of the components of $\tilde{X} \setminus C$.

We can add finitely many cells to C in order to obtain a transverse subcomplex C_1 . Let U_1 be some component of $\tilde{X} \setminus C_1$ contained in U (there may be more than one). Since C_1 was gotten from C by adding finitely many cells, it suffices for this half of the proof to see that $\pi_1(U_1)$ is finitely generated.

Since C_1 is transverse, there are two-sided subsets of U_1 cutting it into pieces, each of which is either of the form “some component of $(\tilde{X}_S)_i \setminus (C_1 \cap \tilde{X}_S)$ ” or “ $(\tilde{X}_S)_i$ ”. The pieces of the second type are simply-connected. By our hypothesis, each of the pieces of the first type has finitely generated fundamental group. If it turned out that the subsets we were cutting along were π_1 -injective then it would follow that $\pi_1(U_1)$ was a tree product of the fundamental groups of the pieces. But in any case, a simple induction argument using Van Kampen’s theorem implies that $\pi_1(U_1)$ is generated by the generators of the fundamental groups of the pieces. Since there are only finitely many pieces of the first type, the desired result follows.

Assume that X is Tucker. We want to see that each component of X_S is Tucker. Suppose C is a finite subcomplex of some $(X_S)_i$. Let U be some component of

Again, we enlarge C to a transverse finite subcomplex C_1 and take U_1 to be some component of $(\tilde{X}_S)_i \setminus C_1$ contained in U . It suffices to see that $\pi_1(U_1)$ is finitely generated. Write V_1 for the component of $\tilde{X} \setminus C_1$ containing U_1 . Since X is Tucker, $\pi_1(V_1)$ is finitely generated. But Van Kampen's theorem implies that $\pi_1(V_1)$ is equal to the free product of $\pi_1(U_1)$ with a finitely generated free group (possibly trivial). Hence, by Grushko's theorem, $\pi_1(U_1)$ is finitely generated, as desired. \square

We will now apply the above result to free products with amalgamations and HNN extensions. We give the details for amalgamations. The case for HNN extensions is similar.

Suppose $G = A *_C B$, with A and B finitely presented and C finitely generated. Take spaces K_A and K_B with fundamental groups A and B , respectively. By attaching mapping cylinders from a bouquet of circles, one gets a space X with fundamental group G , and a two-sided subcomplex S (the bouquet of circles), for which X_S is π_1 -injective in X . Observe that S does not have fundamental group being C , and S is not itself π_1 -injective in X . However, we may still use theorem 4.1, and we get the following result:

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose A and B are finitely presented and C is finitely generated.*

- (1) $A *_C B$ is Tucker iff both A and B are Tucker.
- (2) $A *_C \phi$ is Tucker iff A is Tucker.

Now, just as in [2], we may apply the HNN extension approach to one-relator groups which yields:

Corollary 4.3. *All one-relator groups are Tucker.*

Proof. Suppose G is a one-relator group. By a result in [4], there is a finite sequence of finitely generated groups $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n = G$ where, for each $i < n$, either H_{i+1} or $H_{i+1} * Z$ is an HNN-extension of H_i over a finitely generated group and such that H_1 is either a free group or a free product of a free group and a finite cyclic group. The result now follows from corollary 4.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. G. Brick, *Quasi-isometries and ends of groups*, to appear, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra.
- [2] S. G. Brick and M. L. Mihalik, *The Qsf property for groups and spaces*, to appear, Math. Zeit..
- [3] S. M. Gersten and J. R. Stallings, *Casson's idea about 3-manifolds whose universal cover is \mathbb{R}^3* , International Journal of Algebra and Computation **1**, 395–406.
- [4] M. L. Mihalik and S. T. Tschantz, *One relator groups are semistable at infinity*, to appear, Topology.
- [5] M. L. Mihalik and S. T. Tschantz, *Tame combings and the quasi-simply-filtered condition for groups*, preprint.
- [6] T. W. Tucker, *Non-compact 3-manifolds and the missing boundary problem*, Topology **13**, 267–273.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA, MOBILE, AL 36688

E-mail address: brick@mathstat.usouthal.edu