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Abstract

Bacterial communities associated with seagrass bed sediments are not well studied. The work presented here investigated several factors and their impact on bacterial community diversity, including the presence or absence of vegetation, depth into sediment, and season. Double-gradient denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DG-DGGE) was used to generate banding patterns from the amplification products of 16S rRNA genes in 1-cm sediment depth fractions. Bioinformatics software and other statistical analyses were used to generate similarity scores between sections. Jackknife analyses of these similarity coefficients were used to group banding patterns by depth into sediment, presence or absence of vegetation, and by season. The effects of season and vegetation were strong and consistent, leading to correct grouping of banding patterns. The effects of depth were not consistent enough to correctly group banding patterns using this technique. While it is not argued that bacterial communities in sediment are not influenced by depth in sediment, this study suggests that the differences are too fine and inconsistent to be resolved using 1-cm depth fractions and DG-DGGE. The effects of depth into sediment were more consistent than the effects of depth in sediment, suggesting they exert stronger controls on microbial community structure.

Introduction

Seagrasses are submerged flowering plants that grow rooted in coastal sediments of intertidal, subtidal, or shallow coastal zones [12], including the waters contiguous with the Gulf of Mexico in the USA. Seagrasses found in the northern Gulf of Mexico, and in Santa Rosa Sound in northwest Florida in particular, include Halodule wrightii (shoal grass) and Thalassia testudinum (turtle grass), among others. Seagrass habitats are in decline, both in the USA and around the world [1, 30]. Seagrass bed coverage in Santa Rosa Sound has declined from historical levels, and their preservation has been the subject of study and monitoring, with the hopes of restoring lost habitats [22, 23, 34]. Seagrasses provide several vital estuarine ecosystem functions, and their protection and restoration are important. Seagrasses link sediment and water column nutrient cycles and provide a buffer to erosion by trapping sediment and suspended material [14, 37, 40]. A large variety of micro-, meso-, and macrofauna are found within the margins of the seagrass beds. Many fish and decapod crustaceans (including species recognized for their economic value [25, 35]) are found in seagrass beds at significantly higher densities than in nonvegetated sandy habitats.

A great many bacterial species found in the sediments of seagrass beds are absent in sediment habitats outside of seagrass beds [6, 36]. It has been shown that bacteria inhabit the roots of the seagrasses [18–20, 28] and may form synergistic relationships. While it is known that certain bacterial species are important to seagrass ecosystems, the scope and scale of bacteria that are beneficial to seagrasses are not known. Bacterial communities associated with seagrass bed sediment in affected areas may differ from those associated with sediment in more pristine seagrass habitats, providing an indicator of seagrass health, just as seagrass beds themselves are indicators of water quality [4, 16]. A better understanding of these interactions could help define possible causes of
seagrass decline and enhance efforts in seagrass restoration. It is therefore important to define the dynamics of bacterial communities associated with seagrasses.

Many strategies for examining microbial diversity entail analysis of ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequences, including denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) [26, 29]. DGGE is a genetic fingerprinting technique that enables the separation of equally sized DNA fragments obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) according to sequence differences [11]. The separation occurs during electrophoresis as a result of the differential “melting” of the different amplicons in a DNA product as they encounter a linearly increasing concentration of a denaturant mixture (urea and formamide) [26]. Double-gradient DGGE (DG-DGGE) further incorporates a gradient in acrylamide concentration to further sharpen banding patterns [8]. For the work here, we employed DG-DGGE as it was expected to provide the resolution needed to assess the microbial diversity in seagrass bed sediments.

Because the bacterial communities in sediments associated with seagrasses are poorly described, this study was undertaken to investigate some fundamental characteristics of bacterial community composition in relation to seagrasses. This was accomplished by examining differences in bacterial communities based on presence or absence of vegetation, depth into the sediment, and season.

Materials and Methods

Study Site. The area just offshore of the Naval Live Oaks (NLO) Preserve in Gulf Breeze, FL, was chosen for study (Fig. 1). The shoreline in this location is part of the Gulf Islands National Seashore, maintained by the US National Park Service. The 2 mi of adjacent shoreline is free of direct commercial or residential activities and inputs. This site is considered to be pristine for the purposes of this study and most likely contains the highest quality seagrass environment in the Santa Rosa Sound system. A readily accessible mixed bed of seagrasses, including *T. testudinum* and *H. wrightii*, was found in the shallow, near-shore waters of this site.

Collection of Sediment Cores. Sediment cores were collected in February, June, and October of 2001. The depth from the surface of the water to the sediment was approximately 1–1.5 m. Three cores, each approximately 10 cm deep and 3.5 cm in diameter, were taken in vegetated and unvegetated sediment at each sampling time, for a total of 18 cores. The vegetated cores were taken from within the seagrass bed, and unvegetated samples were taken within 10 m of the vegetated sites. Upon retrieval, the cores were sealed at both ends with rubber stoppers and immediately placed on ice.

Cores were processed within 2 h of collection. The top eight 1-cm sections (by depth from the surface) were placed into sterile 50-mL BD Falcon conical centrifuge tubes (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Small amounts of plant tissue were not removed from the sediment. A total of 144 sediment core sections were obtained and stored at −20°C until DNA extraction was performed.

DNA Extraction. Microbial cell lysis and DNA extraction of the samples were performed using the UltraClean Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Inc., Solana Beach, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols with the noted modifications. Frozen sediment samples were thawed, and 0.5 g was added to MoBio Bead Solution tubes. After the addition of MoBio Solution S1, three cycles of freezing/thawing (−70/60°C) were performed. The tubes were transferred to a MiniBeadBeater-8 (BioSpec Products, Inc., Bartlesville, OK, USA) homogenizer and mixed for 1.5 min at the highest setting. The tubes were centrifuged, and the supernatants were transferred to clean tubes, followed by 250 μL of MoBio Solution S2. When evidence of humic acids or tannins was present, indicated by coloration of the silica spin filter, additional washes with MoBio Solution S4 were performed until the coloration was significantly diminished or eliminated. The DNA was eluted and stored at −20°C. The concentration of the product was determined by electrophoresis and comparison to a standard DNA ladder of known concentrations (Low DNA Mass Ladder, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Polymerase Chain Reaction. Each PCR reaction contained GeneAmp 1× PCR Gold buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.2 μM GMST-341fGC [forward universal bacterial 16S primer (underlined) with 40-bp GC clamp at the 5’end: CGCCCGCCGCGCCCCGCGCC GTCCCCGCGCAGCCTACGGGAGGCAG CCAG] [26], 0.2 μM 907r (reverse universal bacterial 16S primer: CCGTCAATTCTTTGAGTTT) [21], 2.2 U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, J.B. JAMES ET AL.: SEAGRASS BED BACTERIAL COMMUNITIES

Figure 1. Naval Live Oaks site, Gulf Islands National Seashore, Gulf Breeze, FL.
CA, USA), and approximately 120 ng template DNA. Triplicate samples were amplified in using a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (PE Applied Biosystems) under the following reaction conditions: 94°C for 5 min, 20 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 65°C for 1 min (decreasing 0.5°C/cycle), 72°C for 3 min, 10 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 3 min, 72°C for 7 min, 4°C hold. PCR products were analyzed via agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. The gel image was digitized using the Kodak Digital Science Image Station and analysis software as described above. Replicates of sample products were pooled and concentrated to 30-μL total volume using a SpeedVac DNA 110 evaporator (Savant Instruments, Inc., Farmingdale, NY).

**Double Gradient Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis.** DG-DGGE analysis of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene fragments was performed as described by Cremonesi et al. [8] and Muyzer and Smalla [27] with the D-Gene system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The 1-mm-thick, 6–8% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel with a 20–70% denaturing gradient was poured discontinuously, using a 0.5- to 1-cm stacker of polyacrylamide gel without denaturant [24]. The manufacturer defines a 100% chemical denaturant solution as 7 M urea and 40% formamide. The gels were electrophoresed for 18 h in 1× Tris–acetate–EDTA (TAE) buffer at 60°C using a constant voltage of 62 V. Gels were stained with SYBR Gold (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) and photographed on a UV transillumination table with a Polaroid camera equipped with a SYBR Gold filter (Molecular Probes). The gel photos were then digitized using an HP Scanjet ADF (Palo Alto, CA, USA). Gels containing bands sufficiently bright and clearly discernable from the background were used. PCR-amplified 16S rRNA genes from *Ralstonia* sp., *Bacillus cereus*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *Microbacterium* sp., and *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans* provided an external five-band standard for alignment and normalization of band migration between gels [33]. These procedures resulted in banding patterns for 121 1-cm sediment core sections. The 23 remaining samples either gave poor amplification results or poor band resolution when the PCR products were analyzed by DGGE.

**Computer-Assisted Statistical Analysis**

**Lane and Band Analysis.** The digitized image of each usable gel was entered into a BioNumerics (Applied Maths, Austin, TX, USA) database. Lanes were converted to densitometric curves. The curves were analyzed using software-determined thresholds, and bands were identified based on software-determined optimal thresholds. The gels were normalized using the five external standards described earlier and internal standards (bands consistent across several lanes in a gel). Gel photographs were examined with a magnifying glass, and any bands (operational taxonomic units) missed by the software were added and any bands that were clearly artifacts recognized by the software were deleted. Close inspection with the manual insertion and deletion of bands, as appropriate, was considered to result in a more accurate reflection of the community banding pattern than that obtained from the raw computer-generated pattern.

**Similarity matrices.** The BioNumerics package used the Dice algorithm [9] with the numbers of common and total bands to generate similarity coefficients between banding patterns. For two banding patterns A and B, the Dice similarity coefficient is calculated as

\[
\text{Similarity}_{\text{Dice}} = \frac{2 \times AB}{(AB + A - AB + B - AB)}
\]

where AB is the number of bands common to both banding patterns, A is the number of bands found in banding pattern A but not banding pattern B, and B is the number of bands found in banding pattern B but not banding pattern A.

**Table 1.** Total number of banding patterns from core sections (121) divided into groups with reference to depth into sediment, month of collection, and presence of vegetation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (1-cm intervals)</th>
<th>No. of sediment core sections</th>
<th>Month (of collection)</th>
<th>No. of sediment core sections</th>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>No. of sediment core sections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Feb (2)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Vegetated</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>June (6)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Unvegetated</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Oct (10)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BioNumerics program was used to calculate the best optimization and tolerance values for the compar-
Table 2. Assignment of banding patterns to month groups by jackknife analysis (Dice coefficient)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Banding patterns (%) assigned to groups</th>
<th>Month collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERCCs of patterns to host class are in boldface. The mean ERCC was 70.7%.

Table 3. Assignment of banding patterns to 1-cm depth interval groups by jackknife analysis (Dice coefficient)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section depth (cm)</th>
<th>0–1</th>
<th>1–2</th>
<th>2–3</th>
<th>3–4</th>
<th>4–5</th>
<th>5–6</th>
<th>6–7</th>
<th>7–8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERCCs of patterns to host class are in boldface. The mean ERCC was 16.5%.
Results

Number of Bands in Banding Patterns. DG-DGGE patterns of sediment bacterial communities were composed of between 3 and 31 bands. These numbers only include bands of 16S rRNA gene PCR products amplified from the sediment cores found within the range of the external standards run on every DG-DGGE. Any band found outside the range of standards was not used, as it was not subjected to the normalization process that made the gels comparable to one another. The data were not normally distributed, as determined using the Shapiro–Wilk test \( p < 0.05 \), and thus were transformed and analyzed using a nonparametric procedure (Wilcoxon rank sums and Kruskal–Wallis test). Only when the numbers of bands in a profile were sorted by month was a significant effect evident as the number of bands in the June group was significantly different from the number of bands in the February and October groups, which were not significantly different from one another. No significant differences in the number of bands were found when the banding patterns were divided by depth or vegetation presence/absence.

Similarity Matrices and Jackknife Analysis. Matrices were constructed based on maximum similarity coefficients derived by the band-based [Dice: Eq. (1)] correlation methods (data not shown). The similarity coefficients ranged from 65.1% down to 0.0%.

Discriminant analysis of sediment bacterial community banding patterns was performed by cross-validation using jackknife analysis. Assignments of banding patterns to groups based on month are shown in Table 2. It is notable that when incorrect assignments were made for February and October samples, they were made to each other with a higher frequency than they were to the June group. Incorrect assignment of June samples appeared to be spread fairly evenly to both February and October. This is important as conditions (e.g., temperature and seagrass status) in February and October are more alike to one another than conditions in June. Overall, season appeared to have a strong and consistent effect on grouping the microbial communities, as the mean ERCC for the month groups as a whole was 70.7%, significantly higher than the random ERCC for month groups (38.0%).

Table 4. Assignment of banding patterns to vegetation groups by jackknife analysis (Dice coefficient)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of vegetation</th>
<th>Banding patterns (%) assigned to groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetated</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unvegetated</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERCCs of patterns to host class are in boldface. The mean ERCC was 74.4%.

The presence or absence of vegetation had a consistent and significant effect on the assignment of banding patterns (Table 4). The mean ERCC for group assignment by the presence or absence of vegetation was 74.4%, whereas the random ERCC was 58.7%.

Assignments of banding patterns to groups based on 1-cm depth intervals into sediment were not evenly spread among groups. Using 1-cm depth intervals to divide groups did not provide evidence of consistent and significant effects of depth into sediment on microbial communities. A full 68.0% of assignments were to the 0- to 1-cm depth and 1- to 2-cm depth groups, despite the fact that these groups only contained 24.8% of the total banding patterns. The mean ERCC for group assignment by 1-cm depth was 16.5%, and the random ERCC was 14.0% (Table 3).

The presence or absence of vegetation had a consistent and significant effect on the assignment of banding patterns (Table 4). The mean ERCC for group assignment by the presence or absence of vegetation was 74.4%, whereas the random ERCC was 58.7%.

All the ERCCs for the different groups as determined by jackknife analysis were compared with the random ERCC (Table 5). Significant differences between the ERCCs were determined using the binomial table of confidence limits for percentages [36]. The ERCC for the month groups and the ERCC for the vegetation groups were significantly higher than random, with 99% confidence. These groups also appeared to have a fairly even assignment of banding patterns to groups, as compared to the uneven assignments in the depth group.

Discussion

The microbial communities of seagrass vegetated and unvegetated sediments were successfully investigated using DG-DGGE analysis of PCR amplicons of 16S rRNA genes. This method provided a rapid overview of the community profile when jackknife analysis was used to assign banding patterns to groups using similarity coefficients generated with the band-based Dice coefficient. The method cannot afford quantitative analysis of microbial communities on the basis of band intensities because of possible PCR bias and the sensitivity of the software to localized gel backgrounds associated with bands. The microbial communities of seagrass vegetated and unvegetated sediment at the NLO site in the Santa Rosa Sound could be consistently separated by this method, based on season as well as the presence or absence of vegetation. On the other hand, the communities could not be distinguished by depth intervals into
sediment. Although this study does not refute the fact that depth into sediment greatly influences the microbial community, it highlights the fact that such influences may not be consistent enough over the seasons or in the presence or absence of vegetation as to be elucidated using this community DNA fingerprinting technique. It is also possible that the DGGE method is insufficiently sensitive to detect differences with depth.

The ERCC for assignments based on month (as a proxy for season) was high, and a likely explanation is that the bacterial communities for a given month were likely responding to the seasonal status of the seagrasses. Microbial activities in seagrass bed sediments show strong seasonality and are highest when the plants are actively growing [10, 38].

The ERCC for assignments based on the presence of vegetation was also significantly higher than random. Seagrass bed sediments support higher numbers of bacteria and greater bacterial activities than nonvegetated sediments because of enrichment with organic carbon [10, 19, 20, 38]. Bacterial communities in unvegetated sediment do not experience these inputs and would be expected to differ at some level from the seagrass bed sediment communities. In contrast, Bagwell et al. [2] obtained one reproducible DGGE banding pattern for nifH sequences amplified from seagrass bed sediments in an oligotrophic environment and nearby nonvegetated sediments. Similarly, Smith et al. [38] concluded that the community composition of sulfate-reducing bacteria, based on comparisons of dissimilatory sulfate reductase genes, at the same site used in the present study did not vary substantially between vegetated and unvegetated sediments. Smith et al. [38] did find some small groups of sulfate-reducing bacteria unique to either the vegetated or nonvegetated sediment and suggested they could represent responses to available carbon sources, either root exudates or benthic algae, respectively. Similarities in microbial communities between vegetated and nonvegetated sediment are therefore to be expected, as indicated by Bagwell et al. [2], Smith et al. [38], and by the consistent grouping found in this study based on month. Yet, the microbial community in each type of sediment, particularly in temperate environments, is likely to have its own distinct characteristics.

Depth into sediment had a less consistent effect on the bacterial communities. This can be explained in part by the great influence of oxic conditions on bacterial communities. Oxygen is rapidly depleted with depth into the sediment, typically within millimeters [5, 13]. However, seagrasses exude oxygen into the sediment, creating an oxygen gradient into the rhizosphere [3, 7, 5, 15, 31] extending to (and upwards of, during daylight) 50 μm from the root surface outward into the sediment [5]. As a result, bacteria in the immediate rhizosphere may have some similarity to bacteria found in the oxic zone at the sediment surface, regardless of the latter’s condition with respect to vegetation. The vertical shift toward the surface by the oxic zone in the summer may also occur in the zones where other specialized metabolizers would be found. Sulfate reduction rates (SRR) of vegetated and unvegetated sediments were measured in the winter and summer of 2001 by Smith et al. [38] at the same study site. When they looked at SRR by depth, it was possible to differentiate unvegetated sediments from vegetated sediments within a season. Also, it was possible to differentiate one season from another for a particular plot of sediment. However, when both season and vegetation were considered, it was more difficult to differentiate by SRR, as the SRR for unvegetated sediment in summer was similar to that of vegetated sediment in winter, especially in the upper 2 cm. Taking this into account, it is apparent that there were depths where different types of communities existed in different months, especially depths near the surface and the transition from aerobic metabolism to anaerobic metabolism. These shallow depths tended to draw more assignments that would have been expected. This was demonstrated in the large number of assignments to the 0–1, 1–2, and 0–2 cm depth intervals. Although relative depth within a sediment core may be useful for separation of bacterial communities, the variability was too great for depth to be used reliably when comparing communities from different sediment cores. Whereas it was expected that the effects of depth would sort the microbial communities with greater resolution, these results are consistent with the results of similar studies [17].

Jackknife analysis sorted complex DG-DGGE patterns into groups based on vegetation and month. The differences in the communities were likely because of small percentages of the bacteria that changed in abundance, depending on season or vegetation. There have been few studies on microbial community diversity interactions with seagrasses. Our results provide additional evidence for interactions of sediment microbial communities with seagrasses.
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