

**Scoring Rubric for Department of Biology Major
Graduate Comprehensive / Final Exam**

Date:

Student Name:

Mentor Name:

Committee Member Name:

Scoring: 1 (insufficient), 2 (meets expectations) and 3 (above expectations)

Component	Score	Comment
1. Knowledge of biology and basic scientific principles		
2. Knowledge within expertise and use of literature		
3. Deductive (top-down) and inductive (bottom-up) reasoning skills		
4. Knowledge of experimental approaches and application of design		
Total		
Average		

*** (see below for specific categories)**

**Scoring Rubric for Department of Biology Majors
Graduate Comprehensive Exam**

*** Categories for use in scoring**

	Level 1 (needs improvement)	Level 2 (Meets expectations)	Level 3 (Performance above expectations)
1. Knowledge of biology and basic scientific principles	<p>Unable to recall or define basic scientific principles</p> <p>Unable to discuss major laws or theories.</p> <p>Student has little "working knowledge"</p>	<p>Is able to recall or define some basic scientific principles</p> <p>Demonstrates an ability to discuss fundamental laws and theories</p> <p>Displays some working knowledge</p>	<p>Demonstrates a clear understanding of basic scientific principles</p> <p>Understands the importance of fundamental laws and theories that govern science</p> <p>Appears to have a broad working knowledge across disciplines</p>
2. Knowledge within expertise and use of literature	<p>Unaware of landmark papers in the field</p> <p>Unaware of how to connect existing studies with their own work</p> <p>Unaware of journal titles relevant to their field of study</p>	<p>Familiar with important studies in the field</p> <p>Familiar with pertinent literature related to their field</p> <p>Familiar with important journal titles</p>	<p>Discusses various studies related to their work, putting them in context</p> <p>Has read important references cited in relevant studies</p> <p>Distinguishes quality papers from those that are not and demonstrates an historical perspective, citing landmark/significant works</p>
3. Deductive (top-down) and inductive (bottom-up) reasoning skills	<p>Unable to apply scientific knowledge and the scientific method to problem solve</p> <p>Unable to synthesize and relate information/data to broad-scale, interdisciplinary problems</p>	<p>Demonstrates some ability to apply the scientific method to problem solve</p> <p>Displays a limited ability to synthesize and relate information/data to broad-scale, interdisciplinary problems</p>	<p>Clearly displays the ability to logically apply the scientific method to problem solve, establishing possible cause-effect relationships</p> <p>Shows an ability to analyze, synthesize and relate information/data to broad-scale, interdisciplinary problems</p>
4. Knowledge of experimental approaches and application of design	<p>Unable to interpret data</p> <p>Little understanding of the underlying principles of design and application of methods</p> <p>Unable to explain methodological theory</p>	<p>Demonstrates a basic knowledge of the underlying principles of experimental design</p> <p>Demonstrates a basic understanding of methodological theory</p>	<p>Displays the ability to place technical details and relate results/data to experimental approach</p> <p>Shows an understanding of methodological theory and application</p>