

# Logarithms of Integers are Irrational

Jörg Feldvoss\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of South Alabama

Mobile, AL 36688-0002, USA

May 19, 2008

## Abstract

In this short note we prove that the natural logarithm of every integer  $\geq 2$  is an irrational number and that the decimal logarithm of any integer is irrational unless it is a power of 10.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R04

In this short note we prove that logarithms of most integers are irrational.

**Theorem 1:** *The natural logarithm of every integer  $n \geq 2$  is an irrational number.*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\ln n = \frac{a}{b}$  is a rational number for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ . Wlog we can assume that  $a, b > 0$ . Using the third logarithmic identity we obtain that the above equation is equivalent to  $n^b = e^a$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  both are *positive* integers, it follows that  $e$  is the root of a polynomial with integer coefficients (and leading coefficient 1), namely, the polynomial  $x^a - n^b$ . Hence  $e$  is an algebraic number (even an algebraic integer) which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 1:** It follows from the proof that for any base  $b$  which is a *transcendental* number the logarithm  $\log_b n$  of every integer  $n \geq 2$  is an irrational number. (It is *not* always true that  $\log_b n$  is already irrational for every integer  $n \geq 2$  if  $b$  is *irrational* as the example  $b = \sqrt{2}$  and  $n = 2$  shows!)

**Question:** Is it always true that  $\log_b n$  is already *transcendental* for every integer  $n \geq 2$  if  $b$  is *transcendental*?

The following well-known result will be needed in [1, p. 111] and can be proved by a slight variation of the proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2:** *The decimal logarithm of every integer  $n$  is an irrational number unless  $n$  is a power of 10.*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\log n = \frac{a}{b}$  is a rational number for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ . Wlog we can assume that  $a, b > 0$ . Using the third law for logarithms we obtain that the above equation is equivalent

---

\*E-mail address: jfeldvoss@jaguar1.usouthal.edu

to  $n^b = 10^a = 2^a \cdot 5^a$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  both are *positive* integers, it follows from the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic that  $n = 2^r \cdot 5^s$  for some positive integers  $r$  and  $s$ . Furthermore, by the same token  $2^{rb} \cdot 5^{sb} = 2^a \cdot 5^a$  implies by that  $rb = a$  and  $sb = a$ , i.e.,  $r = s$ . Hence  $n = 2^r \cdot 5^r = 10^r$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2:** It follows along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 2 that for any integer base  $b$  which is a product of distinct prime numbers the logarithm  $\log_b n$  of every integer  $n$  is an irrational number unless  $n$  is a power of  $b$ . (This is *not* true if  $b$  contains some prime factor at least twice as the example  $\log_4 8 = \frac{3}{2}$  shows. I am grateful to Joshua Haber for pointing this out to me.)

## References

- [1] Boris Hasselblatt and Anatole Katok: *A First Course in Dynamics with a Panorama of Recent Developments*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge/New York, 2003.